

quality of life. Active citizens, interested in the cities they live in, will be able to use properly the OSN to offer valuable urban information without worrying about their online security. With regard to smart living, the benefit will be twofold as citizens' privacy and security will be enhanced and the exploitation of data derived from OSN will lead to the improvement and development of new smart services.

In the future, we plan to expand our work by studying smart peoples' behavior when dealing with privacy threats and security risks on OSN, with the aim of identifying and addressing vulnerabilities in order to enhance their significant involvement in SC through social networking.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge research funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 691025.

REFERENCES

- [1] NOKIA, Machina Research Smart City Playbook. 2016. <https://pages.nokia.com/2170.What.Are.Cities.Doing.to.Be.Smart.html>
- [2] M. Batty, K-W. Axhausen, F. Giannotti, A. Pozdnoukhov, A. Bazzani, M. Wachowicz, G. Ouzounis and Y. Portugali. 2012. Smart cities of the future. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* 214, 1 (Nov. 2012), 481-518. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2012-01703-3>
- [3] L.-G. Anthopoulos. 2017. *Understanding Smart Cities: A Tool for Smart Government or an Industrial Trick?*. Springer International Publishing. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-57015-0
- [4] V. Moustaka, A. Vakali, and L.-G. Anthopoulos. 2018. A systematic review for smart city data analytics (under review)
- [5] V. Moustaka, A. Vakali, and L.-G. Anthopoulos. 2017. CityDNA: smart city dimensions' correlations for identifying urban profile. In *Proceedings of the 26th International World Wide Web Conference (WWW2017)*, ACM. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3041021.3054714>
- [6] Statista. 2018. Number of social media users worldwide from 2010 to 2021 (in billions). Retrieved, Jan. 2018, from: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/278414/number-of-worldwide-social-network-users/>
- [7] E.-A. Nuaimi, H.-A. Neyadi, N. Mohamed, and J. Al-Jaroodi. 2015. Applications of big data to smart cities. *Journal of Internet Services and Applications* 6, 25. DOI 10.1186/s13174-015-0041-5
- [8] A.-S. Elmaghraby and M.-M. Losavio. 2014. Cyber security challenges in Smart Cities: Safety, security and privacy. *Journal of Advanced Research* 5, 491-497. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2014.02.006>
- [9] K.-B. Ahmed, M. Bouhorma, and M.-B. Ahmed. 2014. Age of Big Data and Smart Cities: Privacy TradeOff. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)* 16, 6 (Oct 2014). Retrieved, Dec. 2017, from: <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1411/1411.0087.pdf>
- [10] A. Bartoli, J. Hernandez-Serrano, M. Sorian, M. Dohler, A. Kountouris, and D. Barthel. 2011. Security and Privacy in your Smart City. In *Proceedings of Barcelona Smart Cities Congress*. Retrieved, Dec. 2017, from: <http://www.cttc.es/publication/security-and-privacy-in-your-smart-city/>
- [11] V. Beltran, A. F. Skarmeta, and P.-M. Ruiz. 2017. An ARM-Compliant Architecture for User Privacy in Smart Cities: SMARTIE—Quality by Design in the IoT. *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/3859836>
- [12] A. Martínez-Balleste, P.-A. Pérez-Martínez, and A. Solanas. 2013. The Pursuit of Citizens' Privacy: A Privacy-Aware Smart City Is Possible. *IEEE Communications Magazine* 51, 6 (June 2013), 136-141. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCOM.2013.6525606>
- [13] O. Mazhelis, A. Hämäläinen, T. Asp, and P. Tyrväinen. 2016. Towards enabling privacy preserving smart city apps. In *Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*, IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/ISC2.2016.7580755
- [14] M.-G. Solomon, V. Sunderam, L. Xiong, and M. Li. 2016. Enabling mutually private location proximity services in smart cities: A comparative assessment. In *Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*, IEEE. DOI: 10.1109/ISC2.2016.7580757
- [15] L. Van Zoonen. 2016. Privacy concerns in smart cities. *Government Information Quarterly* 33, 3 (July 2016), 472-480. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.06.004>
- [16] D. Doran, K. Severin, S. Gokhale, and A. Dagnino. 2014. Social Media Enabled Human Sensing for Smart Cities. *AI Communications* 2014. Retrieved, Dec. 2017, from: <http://knoesis.wright.edu/sites/default/files/aic14.pdf>
- [17] R.-P. Dameri and F. Ricciardi. 2014. Using Social Networks in Smart City:organizational challenges, synergies, and benefits. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Social Media (ECSM 2014)*
- [18] K.-E. Kumar and H.-A. Ahmed. 2016. Estimation of Traffic with Accuracy through Twitter Stream Analysis. *International Journal of Innovative Technologies* 04, 08 (July 2016), 1317-1324
- [19] G. Rizzo, R. Meo, R.-G. Pensa, G. Falcone, and R. Troncy. 2016. Shaping City Neighborhoods Leveraging Crowd Sensor. *Information Systems*, July 2016. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2012.05.005>
- [20] F. Luo, G. Cao, K. Mulligan and X. Li. 2016. Explore spatiotemporal and demographic characteristics of human mobility via Twitter: A case study of Chicago. *Applied Geography* 70, May 2016, 11-25. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2016.03.001>
- [21] C. Zhang and J. Sun. 2010. Privacy and Security for Online Social Networks: Challenges and Opportunities. *IEEE Network* 24, 4 (July-August 2010). DOI: 10.1109/MNET.2010.5510913
- [22] C. Patsakis, A. Zigomitos, A. Papageorgiou and A. Solanas. 2014. Privacy and Security for Multimedia Content shared on OSNs: Issues and Countermeasures. *Computer Journal* 58, 4, 518-535. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/comjnl/bxu066>
- [23] M. Fire, R. Goldschmidt and Y. Elovici. 2014. Online Social Networks: Threats and Solutions. *IEEE Communication Surveys & Tutorials* 16, 4, Fourth Quarter 2014, 2019-2036
- [24] T. Minkus, K. Liu, and K.-W. Ross. 2015. Children Seen But Not Heard: When Parents Compromise Children's Online Privacy. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web (WWW'15)*, 776-786. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2736277.2741124>
- [25] R. Giffinger and H. Gudrun. 2010. Smart Cities Ranking: An Effective Instrument for the Positioning of Cities?. *ACE* 4, 12 (February 2010), 7-25, URI=<http://hdl.handle.net/2099/8550>
- [26] T. Nam and T.-A. Pardo. 2011. Conceptualizing Smart City with Dimensions of Technology, People, and Institutions. In *Proceedings of the 12th Annual International Digital Government Research Conference: Digital Government Innovation in Challenging Times (dg.o' 11)*, ACM. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2037556.2037602>
- [27] J. Lee and H. Lee. 2014. Developing and validating a citizen-centric typology for smart city services. *Government Information Quarterly* 31, s93-s105. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2014.01.010>
- [28] B. Kantarci and H.-T. Mouftah. 2014. Trustworthy Sensing for Public Safety in Cloud-Centric Internet of Things. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 1, 4 (Aug. 2014). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2014.2337886>
- [29] R. Shillair, S.-R. Cotton, H.-Y.-S. Tsai, S. Alhabash, R. LaRose, and N.-J. Rifon. 2015. Online safety begins with you and me: Convincing Internet users to protect themselves. *Computers in Human Behavior* 48, 199-207. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.01.046>
- [30] Y. Li, Y. Li, Q. Yan, and R.-H. Deng. 2015. Privacy leakage analysis in online social networks. *Computers & Security* 49, 239-254. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2014.10.012>
- [31] M. Tierney, I. Spiro, C. Bregler and L. Subramanian. 2013. Cryptagram: Photo privacy for online social media. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM Conference on Online Social Networks (COSN'13)*, 75-88, ACM, New York, NY, USA.
- [32] A. Tsiertsis, N. Tsapatsoulis, M. Stamatelatos, K. Papadamou, and M. Sirivianos. 2016. Cyber Security Risks for Minors: A Taxonomy and a Software Architecture. In *2016 11th International Workshop on Semantic and Social Media Adaptation and Personalization (SMAP)*, IEEE. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/SMAP.2016.7753391>
- [33] L. Van Zoonen & G. Turner. 2013. Taboos and desires of the UK public for identitymanagement in the future: Findings from two survey games. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM workshop on Digital Identity Management (DIM '13)*, 37-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2517881.2517887>
- [34] T. Kirby. 2014. Controversy surrounds England's new NHS database. *The Lancet* 383, 9918. [http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(14\)60230-0/fulltext](http://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(14)60230-0/fulltext)
- [35] Y.-M. Baek, E-M Kim, and Y. Bae. 2014. My privacy is okay, but theirs is endangered: Why comparative optimism matters in online privacy concerns *Computers in Human Behavior* 31, Feb. 2014, 48-56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.10.010>
- [36] A. Bergström. 2015. Online privacy concerns: A broad approach to understanding the concerns of different groups for different uses. *Computers in Human Behavior* 53, Dec. 2015, 419-426. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.025>